

United States Patent and Trademark Office

of

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/015,958 | 10/30/2001 | David George De Vorchik | MFCP.88142 6989 | | |
| 45809 SHOOK HAR | 7590 08/02/2007 DY & BACON L.L.P. | EXAMINER | | | |
| (c/o MICROSOFT CORPORATION) INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 2555 GRAND BOULEVARD | | | KISS, ERIC B | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| KANSAS CIT | Y, MO 64108-2613 | | 2192 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | . 08/02/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/015,958 | DE VORCHIK ET AL. | DE VORCHIK ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| Eric B. Kiss | 2192 | | | |

| | Eric B. Kiss | 2192 | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin | g date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 June 2007</u> . A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements | any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3 | 7(e)), to avoid dismis | sal of the | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief. | will not be entered b | ecause | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | empliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | ilowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | II be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,7-11,14,16,18 and 19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of claims 4-6, 12, 13, 15, 17, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 101 is most in view of the cancellation of these claims.

ERIC B. KISS